

## SHORELINE MASTER PROGRAM SUBMITTAL CHECKLIST

**THIS CHECKLIST IS FOR USE BY LOCAL GOVERNMENTS TO SATISFY THE REQUIREMENTS OF WAC 173-26-201(3)(A), RELATING TO SUBMITTAL OF SHORELINE MASTER PROGRAMS (SMPS) FOR REVIEW BY THE DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY (ECOLOGY) UNDER CHAPTER 173-26 WAC. THE CHECKLIST DOES NOT CREATE NEW OR ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS BEYOND THE PROVISIONS OF THAT CHAPTER.**

(NAME) .....	4
<b>DOCUMENTATION OF SMP DEVELOPMENT PROCESS .....</b>	<b>4</b>
PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT, COMMUNICATION, AND COORDINATION .....	4
SHORELINE INVENTORY .....	5
SHORELINE ANALYSIS .....	6
<b>SMP CONTENTS .....</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>ENVIRONMENT DESIGNATIONS.....</b>	<b>10</b>
NATURAL ENVIRONMENT WAC 173-26-211(5)(A) .....	10
RURAL CONSERVANCY ENVIRONMENT WAC 173-26-211(5)(B).....	11
AQUATIC ENVIRONMENT WAC 173-26-211(5)(C) .....	12
HIGH-INTENSITY ENVIRONMENT WAC 173-26-211(5)(D) .....	13
URBAN CONSERVANCY ENVIRONMENT WAC 173-26-211(5)(E) .....	14
SHORELINE RESIDENTIAL ENVIRONMENT WAC 173-26-211(5)(F) .....	15
<b>GENERAL POLICIES AND REGULATIONS.....</b>	<b>15</b>
ARCHAEOLOGICAL AND HISTORICAL RESOURCES WAC 173-26-221(1) .....	15
CRITICAL AREAS WAC 173-26-221(2) .....	16
WETLANDS WAC 173-26-221(2)(C)(I) .....	17
GEOLOGICALLY HAZARDOUS AREAS WAC 173-26-221(2)(C)(II) .....	18
CRITICAL SALTWATER HABITATS WAC 173-26-221(2)(C)(III) .....	18
CRITICAL FRESHWATER HABITATS WAC 173-26-221(2)(C)(IV).....	19
FLOOD HAZARD REDUCTION WAC 173-26-221(3) .....	19
PUBLIC ACCESS WAC 173-26-221(4) .....	20
VEGETATION CONSERVATION (CLEARING AND GRADING) WAC 173-26-221(5) .....	21
WATER QUALITY WAC 173-26-221(6).....	21
<b>SHORELINE MODIFICATIONS .....</b>	<b>21</b>
SHORELINE STABILIZATION WAC 173-26-231(3)(A) .....	22
PIERS AND DOCKS WAC 173-26-231(3)(B) .....	23
FILL WAC 173-26-231(3)(C) .....	24
BREAKWATERS, JETTIES, AND WEIRS WAC 173-26-231(3)(D) .....	25
DUNES MANAGEMENT WAC 173-26-231(3)(E).....	25
DREDGING WAC 173-26-231(3)(F) .....	26
SHORELINE HABITAT AND NATURAL SYSTEMS ENHANCEMENT WAC 173-26-231(3)(G) .....	27
<b>SPECIFIC SHORELINE USES.....</b>	<b>27</b>
AGRICULTURE WAC 173-26-241(3)(A) .....	27
AQUACULTURE WAC 173-26-241(3)(B) .....	28
BOATING FACILITIES WAC 173-26-241(3)(C).....	30
COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT WAC 173-26-241(3)(D).....	31
FOREST PRACTICES WAC 173-26-241(3)(E) .....	32
INDUSTRY WAC 173-26-241(3)(F).....	32
IN-STREAM STRUCTURES WAC 173-26-241(3)(G) .....	33
MINING WAC 173-26-241(3)(H).....	33
RECREATIONAL DEVELOPMENT WAC 173-26-241(3)(I) .....	34
RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT WAC 173-26-241(3)(J) .....	35

TRANSPORTATION FACILITIES WAC 173-26-241(3)(k) .....	36
UTILITIES WAC 173-26-241(3)(L) .....	36
<b>SMP ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS .....</b>	<b>37</b>

## INSTRUCTIONS

This checklist is intended to help in preparation and review of local shoreline master programs (SMPs). Local governments shall include a completed checklist with all locally-approved SMPs submitted to Ecology for review and approval. See WAC 173-26-201(3)(a).

Information should be provided at the top of the checklist that identifies which local jurisdiction and specific amendment (e.g. comprehensive update, environment re-designation or other topic) the checklist is submitted for, and who prepared it. Please indicate in the location column where in the SMP (or other documents) the requirement is satisfied. If adopting other regulations by reference, identify which specific adopted version of a local ordinance is being used, and attach a copy of the ordinance.

**SMP submittals:** For draft submittals, local governments may use the checklist's Comments column to note any questions or concerns about proposed language. Ecology may then use the Comment field to respond as needed (*see example below*).

Ecology has attempted to make this checklist an accurate and concise summary of rule requirements; however, the agency must rely solely on state statutes (RCWs) and rules (WACs) when approving or denying a shoreline program. This checklist does not create new or additional requirements beyond the provisions of state laws and rules. See WAC 173-26-201(3)(a).

### EXAMPLE: Use of the Comments column for a draft submittal

STATE RULE (WAC) REQUIREMENTS	LOCATION	COMMENTS
<b>High-intensity environment designation criteria:</b> Areas within incorporated municipalities, Urban Growth Areas, and "rural areas of more intense development" (see RCW 36.70A.070) that currently support or are planned for high-intensity water-dependent uses. WAC 173-26-211(5)(d)(iii)	Urban Industrial, p. 15 Urban Mixed, p. 18  Also see Appendix B, Use Analysis, Chapter 3, p. 12.	<b>Local government:</b> SMP includes two urban designations that meet high intensity criteria (Urban Industrial, Urban Mixed). These alternative designations allow more specificity for public access, view and amenity requirements for the mixed use areas.  <i>[Ecology example]</i> <b>Non-Compliant:</b> <i>The City...</i> <b>Required or Recommended Change:</b> <i>The SMP-Guidelines....</i>

<b>Acronyms and abbreviations</b>  comp plan: Comprehensive Plan CUP: Conditional Use Permit SMA: Shoreline Management Act, RCW 90.58 SMP: Shoreline Master Program SSWS: Shorelines of Statewide Significance WAC: Washington Administrative Code	<b>For more information</b>  SMA (Ch. 90.58 RCW): <a href="http://apps.leg.wa.gov/rcw/default.aspx?cite=90.58">http://apps.leg.wa.gov/rcw/default.aspx?cite=90.58</a>  Guidelines (Ch. 173-26, Part III WAC): <a href="http://apps.leg.wa.gov/wac/default.aspx?cite=173-26">http://apps.leg.wa.gov/wac/default.aspx?cite=173-26</a>  Ecology's Shoreline Planners Toolbox: <a href="http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/sea/shorelines/smp/toolbox.html">www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/sea/shorelines/smp/toolbox.html</a>  Ecology SMA Policy Lead: Peter Skowlund: (360) 407-6522
---	---

## SHORELINE MASTER PROGRAM SUBMITTAL CHECKLIST

Prepared for: City of Kelso

Name of Amendment: Shoreline Master Program

Prepared by: Jennifer Hughes and Kristen McCoy, Parametrix

Date: 10/08/2014

STATE RULE (WAC) REQUIREMENTS	LOCATION	COMMENTS
<b>DOCUMENTATION OF SMP DEVELOPMENT PROCESS</b>		
<b>PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT, COMMUNICATION, AND COORDINATION</b>		
Documentation of <b>public involvement</b> throughout SMP development process. WAC 173-26-201(3)(b)(i) and WAC 173-26-090 and 100. For SSWS, see WAC 173-26-251(3)(a).	Will be provided at time of adoption.	
Documentation of <b>communication with state agencies and affected Indian tribes</b> throughout SMP development. WAC 173-26-201(3)(b)(ii) and (iii), WAC 173-26-100(3). For saltwater shorelines, see WAC 173-26-221(2)(c)(iii)(B). For SSWS, see WAC 173-26-251(3)(a).	Will be provided at time of adoption.	
Demonstration that critical areas regulations for shorelines are based on the SMA and the guidelines, and assures no net loss of shoreline ecological functions necessary to sustain shoreline natural resources. WAC 173-26-221(2)(b)(ii),(iii) and (c). EHB 1653.	SMP Appendix C	
Documentation of process to assure that proposed regulatory or administrative actions do not unconstitutionally infringe upon private <b>property rights</b> .	SMP Section 1.4.C SMP Section 4.1.2.J	

STATE RULE (WAC) REQUIREMENTS	LOCATION	COMMENTS
See "State of Washington, Attorney General's Recommended Process for Evaluation of Proposed Regulatory or Administrative Actions to Avoid Unconstitutional Takings of Private Property." WAC 173-26-186(5).	SMP Section 4.6.1 SMP Section 6.5.B.1.g SMP Section 8.1.C	
<p><b>Final submittal</b> includes:</p> <p>Evidence of local government approval (or a locally approved "statement of intent to adopt");</p> <p>New and/or amendatory text, environment designation maps (with boundary descriptions and justification for changes based on existing development patterns, biophysical capabilities and limitations, and the goals and aspirations of the local citizenry);</p> <p>A summary of the proposal together with staff reports and supporting materials;</p> <p>Evidence of SEPA compliance;</p> <p>Copies of all comments received and a record of names and addresses of interested parties involved in local processes. WAC 173-26-110.</p> <p>Submittal must include clear identification and transmittal of all provisions that make up the SMP. <i>This checklist, if complete, meets this requirement.</i> WAC 173-26-210(3)(a) and (h).</p>	<p>SMP Section 1.8</p> <p>SMP Appendix B, Appendix C (pending development of justification memo)</p> <p>Pending local adoption process</p> <p>Pending development of SEPA Checklist</p> <p>Pending local adoption process</p>	
SHORELINE INVENTORY		
<p><b>Inventory</b> of existing data and materials. WAC 173-26-201(3)(c)(i) through (x).</p> <p><b>Inventory of all "shorelines of the state":</b> Include lists and map(s) of all SMA marine, riverine, and lacustrine water bodies, including "<b>shorelands</b>", "floodways", and "floodplains". RCW 90.58.030(2) &amp; WAC's 173-18-044, 173-20-044, 173-22-050, 173-26-211(b, c, d).</p> <p>For jurisdictions with critical saltwater habitats, see WAC 173-26-221(2)(c)(iii)(A)&amp;(B).</p>	<p><b>Data and Materials:</b> Shoreline Analysis Report (SAR), Section 3.1, Inventory Sources</p> <p><b>"Shorelines of the state":</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>* SAR, Section 1.2, Shoreline Jurisdiction</li> <li>* SAR, Section 3.2, Assessment Units</li> <li>* SAR, Appendix C, Figure 01 Shoreline Jurisdiction Cities, Figure 10 Floodplain &amp; Floodway Cities</li> </ul>	

STATE RULE (WAC) REQUIREMENTS	LOCATION	COMMENTS
<b>SHORELINE ANALYSIS</b>		
<p><b>Characterization</b> of shoreline ecosystems and their associated ecological functions that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Identifies ecosystem-wide processes and ecological functions;</li> <li>Assesses ecosystem-wide processes to determine their relationship to ecological functions;</li> <li>Identifies specific measures necessary to protect and/or restore the ecological functions and ecosystem-wide processes. WAC 173-26-201(3)(d)(i)(A).</li> </ul> <p>Demonstration of how characterization was used to prepare master program policies and regulations that achieve no net loss of ecological functions necessary to support shoreline resources and to plan for restoration of impaired functions. WAC 173-26-201(3)(d)(i)(E).</p> <p>For vegetation, see WAC 173-26-221(5). For jurisdictions with critical saltwater habitats, see WAC 173-26-221(2)(c)(iii)(B).</p> <p>Description of data gaps, assumptions made and risks to ecological functions associated with SMP provisions. WAC 173-26-201(2)(a).</p> <p>Characterization includes maps of inventory information at appropriate scale. WAC 173-26-201(3)(c).</p>	<p>Methodology: SAR, Section 3.3 Ecological Characterization</p> <p>Countywide Ecosystem: SAR, Sections 4.1, 4.2, and 4.3</p> <p>Summary of Characteristics: SAR, Tables 5-1 through 5-4</p> <p>Assessment Unit (AU) level in SAR:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>* City of Kelso AU – Section 5.10.1</li> </ul> <p>Data Gaps and Assumptions: SAR Sections 3.3.1 and 3.4.1</p> <p>Map portfolio in SAR Appendices B and C</p>	
<p><b>Use analysis</b> estimating future demand for shoreline space and potential use conflicts based on characterization of current shoreline use patterns and projected trends. Evidence that SMP ensures adequate shoreline space for projected shoreline preferred uses. Public access needs and opportunities within the jurisdiction are identified. Projections of regional economic need guide the designation of "high intensity" shoreline. WAC 173-26-201(3)(d)(ii) &amp; (v); WAC 173-26-211(5)(d)(ii)(B)</p> <p>For SMPs that allow <b>mining</b>, demonstration that siting of mines is consistent with requirements of WAC 173-26-</p>	<p>Potential Use Conflicts:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>* Summarized in SAR Section 5.1.5</li> <li>* Specific detail when available is found in reach descriptions</li> </ul> <p>Consideration of potential future use and conflicts by reach is provided for each Assessment Unit Land Use Characterization section</p> <p>Additional information specific to demands for water-dependent industry is included in Appendix G.</p> <p>For economic resources of statewide importance see</p>	

STATE RULE (WAC) REQUIREMENTS	LOCATION	COMMENTS
<p>241(3)(h)(i).</p> <p><u>For shorelines of the state:</u></p> <p>Evidence the SMP preserves adequate shorelands and submerged lands to accommodate current and projected demand for <b>economic resources of statewide importance</b> (e.g., commercial shellfish beds and navigable harbors) based on statewide or regional analyses, requirements for essential public facilities, and comment from related industry associations, affected Indian tribes, and state agencies. <u>RCW 90.58.100.</u> Evidence that <b>public access and recreation</b> requirements are based on demand projections that take into account activities of state agencies and interests of the citizens to visit public shorelines with special scenic qualities or cultural or recreational opportunities. WAC 173-26-251(3)(c)(ii) &amp; (iii).</p> <p><u>For shorelines of statewide significance:</u></p> <p><b>Optimum implementation</b> directives incorporated into comp plan and development regulations. <u>RCW 90.58.100.</u> For <b>GMA</b> jurisdictions, SMP recreational provisions are consistent with growth projections and level-of-service standards contained in comp plan. WAC 173-26-241(3)(i).</p>	<p>above references to demand analysis</p> <p>Public access and recreation: Requirements will be found with the SMP for each jurisdiction. Information about current and known future public access and recreation is found in the Assessment Unit descriptions in Chapter 5 of the SAR.</p> <p>Kelso is not a GMA jurisdiction.</p>	
<p><b>Restoration plan that:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Identifies degraded areas, impaired ecological functions, and potential restoration sites;</li> <li>Establishes restoration goals and priorities, including SMP goals and policies that provide for restoration of impaired ecological functions;</li> <li>Identifies existing restoration projects and programs;</li> <li>Identifies additional projects and programs needed to achieve local restoration goals, and implementation strategies including identifying prospective funding sources;</li> <li>Sets timelines and benchmarks for implementing</li> </ul>	<p>The Restoration Plan will be provided at a future date.</p> <p>The SAR includes identification of degraded areas, impaired ecological functions and potential restoration sites in the following locations:</p> <p>Assessment Unit (AU) level in SAR:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>* City of Kelso – Section 5.10.1</li> </ul>	

STATE RULE (WAC) REQUIREMENTS	LOCATION	COMMENTS
<p>restoration projects and programs; Provides mechanisms or strategies to ensure that restoration projects and programs will be implemented according to plans and to appropriately review the effectiveness of the projects and programs in meeting the overall restoration goals. WAC 173-26-186(8)(c); 201(2)(c)&amp;(f).</p> <p>For critical freshwater habitats: incentives to restore water connections impeded by previous development. WAC 173-26-221(2)(c)(iv)(C)(III).</p> <p>For <b>SSWS</b>, identification of where natural resources of statewide importance are being diminished over time, and master programs provisions that contribute to the restoration of those resources. WAC 173-26-251(3)(b).</p>		
<p>Evidence that each <b>environment designation</b> is consistent with guidelines criteria [WAC 173-26-211(5)], as well as existing use pattern, the biological and physical character of the shoreline and the goals and aspirations of the community. WAC 173-26-211(2)(a). WAC 173-26-110(3).</p> <p>Lands designated as “forest lands of long-term significance” under RCW 36.70A.170 are designated either natural or rural conservancy shoreline environment designations. WAC 173-26-241(3)(e).</p> <p>For <b>SSWS</b>, demonstration that environment designation policies, boundaries, and use provisions implement SMA preferred use policies of RCW 90.58.020(1) through (7). WAC 173-26-251(3)(c)</p>	<p>Potential environment designation considerations are discussed in the SAR in Section 6.1 Actual proposed environment designations will be provided with SMP for each jurisdiction.</p>	
<p>Assessment of how proposed policies and regulations cause, avoid, minimize and mitigate <b>cumulative impacts</b> to achieve no net loss. Include policies and regulations that address platting or subdividing of property, laying of utilities, and mapping of streets that establish a pattern for future development. Evaluation addresses:</p> <p>(i) <i>current circumstances</i> affecting the shorelines and relevant natural processes;</p>	<p>This analysis will be provided with the Cumulative Impact Analysis report for each jurisdiction</p>	





STATE RULE (WAC) REQUIREMENTS	LOCATION	COMMENTS
legal limitations on the regulation of private property. WAC 173-26-191(2)(a)(ii).		
<b>Height Limitation:</b> SMP prohibits buildings and structures >35 feet in height obstructing views of residences, with exceptions. RCW 90.58.320.	SMP Table 7-1 SMP Section 7.2.6.G	
<b>ENVIRONMENT DESIGNATIONS</b>		
<b>Each environment designation includes:</b> Purpose statements, classification criteria, management policies, and regulations (types of shoreline uses permitted, conditionally permitted, and prohibited; building or structure height and bulk limits, setbacks, maximum density or minimum frontage requirements, and site development standards). WAC 173-26-211(2)(4).	SMP Sections 5.4.1 – 5.4.4 SMP Table 7-1	
An up-to-date <b>map</b> accurately depicting environment designation boundaries. If necessary, include common boundary descriptions. WAC 173-26-211(2)(b); WAC 173-26-110(3).	SMP Appendix B	<i>ECY: okay</i>
Statement that <b>undesignated shorelines</b> are automatically assigned a conservancy environment designation. WAC 173-26-211(2)(e).	SMP Section 5.3.B	<i>ECY: okay</i>
<b>NATURAL ENVIRONMENT WAC 173-26-211(5)(a)</b>		
<b>Designation criteria:</b> Shorelines that are ecologically intact and performing functions that could be damaged by human activity, are of particular scientific or educational interest, or are unable to support human development without posing a safety threat. WAC 173-26-211(5)(a)(iii).	City of Kelso does not have environments appropriate for a Natural Environment Designation	<i>ECY: N/A no Natural Designation was implemented in updated SMP</i>
<b>Prohibition</b> on new:  Uses that would substantially degrade ecological functions or natural character of shoreline. WAC 173-26-211(5)(a)(ii)(A). Commercial uses; industrial uses; nonwater oriented recreation; roads, utility corridors, and parking	City of Kelso does not have environments appropriate for a Natural Environment Designation	<i>ECY: N/A no Natural Designation was implemented in updated SMP</i>

STATE RULE (WAC) REQUIREMENTS	LOCATION	COMMENTS
<p>areas. WAC 173-26-211(5)(a)(ii)(B). Development or significant vegetation removal that would reduce the capability of vegetation to perform normal ecological functions. WAC 173-26-211(5)(a)(ii)(G). Subdivision of property in a configuration that will require significant vegetation removal or shoreline modification that adversely impacts ecological functions. WAC 173-26-211(5)(a)(ii)(G).</p>		
<p>For <b>single family residential development</b>: limits on density and intensity to protect ecological functions, and requirement for CUP. WAC 173-26-211(5)(a)(ii)(C).</p>	<p>City of Kelso does not have environments appropriate for a Natural Environment Designation</p>	<p><i>ECY: N/A no Natural Designation was implemented in updated SMP</i></p>
<p>For <b>commercial forestry</b>: requirement for CUP, requirement to follow conditions of the State Forest Practices Act. WAC 173-26-211(5)(a)(ii)(D).</p>	<p>City of Kelso does not have environments appropriate for a Natural Environment Designation</p>	<p><i>ECY: N/A no Natural Designation was implemented in updated SMP</i></p>
<p>For <b>agriculture</b>: low intensity use allowed if subject to appropriate limits or conditions to assure that the use does not expand or practices don't conflict with purpose of the designation. WAC 173-26-211(5)(a)(ii)(E).</p>	<p>City of Kelso does not have environments appropriate for a Natural Environment Designation</p>	<p><i>ECY: N/A no Natural Designation was implemented in updated SMP</i></p>
<p><b>Low intensity public uses</b> such as scientific, historical, cultural, educational research uses, and water-oriented recreational access allowed if ecological impacts are avoided. WAC 173-26-211(5)(a)(ii)(F).</p>	<p>City of Kelso does not have environments appropriate for a Natural Environment Designation</p>	<p><i>ECY: N/A no Natural Designation was implemented in updated SMP</i></p>
<p><b>RURAL CONSERVANCY ENVIRONMENT WAC 173-26-211(5)(b)</b></p>		
<p><b>Designation criteria</b>: areas outside municipalities or UGAs with: (A) low-intensity, resource-based uses, (B) low-intensity residential uses, (C) environmental limitations such as steep banks or floodplains, (D) high recreational or cultural value, or (E) low-intensity water-dependent uses. WAC 173-26-211(5)(b)(iii).</p>	<p>City of Kelso does not have a Rural Conservancy Environment Designation</p>	<p><i>ECY: N/A No Rural Conservancy designation was implemented in the updated SMP.</i></p>
<p>Restrictions on <b>use and development that would degrade or permanently deplete resources</b>. Water dependent and water enjoyment recreation facilities are preferred uses. Low intensity, water-oriented</p>	<p>City of Kelso does not have a Rural Conservancy Environment Designation</p>	<p><i>ECY: N/A</i></p>

STATE RULE (WAC) REQUIREMENTS	LOCATION	COMMENTS
commercial and industrial uses limited to areas where those uses have located in the past or at sites that possess conditions and services to support the development. WAC 173-26-211(5)(b)(ii)(A) and (B).  For SMPs that allow mining, see WAC 173-26-241(3)(h).		
Prohibition on <b>new structural shoreline stabilization and flood control works</b> except where there is documented need to protect an existing primary structure (provided mitigation is applied) or to protect ecological functions. WAC 173-26-211(5)(b)(ii)(C).	City of Kelso does not have a Rural Conservancy Environment Designation	ECY: N/A
Development standards for <b>residential use</b> that preserve existing character of the shoreline. Density, lot coverage, vegetation conservation and other provisions that ensure no net loss of shoreline ecological functions.  Density or lot coverage limited to a maximum of ten percent total impervious surface area within the lot or parcel, or alternative standard that maintains the existing hydrologic character of the shoreline. (May include provisions allowing greater lot coverage for lots legally created prior to the adoption of a master program prepared under these guidelines, if lot coverage is minimized and vegetation is conserved.) WAC 173-26-211(5)(b)(ii)(D).	City of Kelso does not have a Rural Conservancy Environment Designation	ECY: N/A
<b>AQUATIC ENVIRONMENT WAC 173-26-211(5)(c)</b>		
<b>Designation criteria:</b> Areas waterward of the ordinary high-water mark (OHWM). WAC 173-26-211(5)(c)(iii).	SMP Section 5.4.4	ECY: okay
<b>New over-water structures:</b>  Allowed only for water-dependent uses, public access, or ecological restoration. WAC 173-26-211(5)(c)(ii)(A).  Limited to the minimum necessary to support the structure's intended use. WAC 173-26-211(5)(c)(ii)(B).	SMP Section 5.4.4.A	ECY: okay
<b>Multiple use</b> of over-water facilities encouraged. WAC	SMP Section 5.4.4.A	ECY: okay

STATE RULE (WAC) REQUIREMENTS	LOCATION	COMMENTS
173-26-211(5)(c)(ii)(C).		
<p><b>Location and design</b> of all developments and uses required to:</p> <p>Minimize interference with surface navigation, to consider impacts to public views, and to allow for the safe, unobstructed passage of fish and wildlife, particularly those species dependent on migration. WAC 173-26-211(5)(c)(ii)(D).</p> <p>Prevent water quality degradation and alteration of natural hydrographic conditions. WAC 173-26-211(5)(c)(ii)(F).</p>	SMP Section 5.4.4.B	ECY: okay
<p><b>Uses that adversely impact ecological functions</b> of critical saltwater and freshwater habitats limited (except where necessary for other SMA objectives, and then only when their impacts are mitigated). WAC 173-26-211(5)(c)(ii)(E).</p>	SMP Section 5.4.4.C	ECY: okay
<b>HIGH-INTENSITY ENVIRONMENT WAC 173-26-211(5)(d)</b>		
<p><b>Designation criteria:</b> Areas within incorporated municipalities, “UGAs,” and “rural areas of more intense development” (see RCW 36.70A.070) that currently support or are planned for high-intensity water-dependent uses. WAC 173-26-211(5)(d)(iii).</p>	SMP Section 5.4.1	ECY: Kelso is applying this differently and identifying these areas for water-oriented uses, not water-dependent uses.
<p><b>Priority</b> given first to water dependent uses, then to water-related and water-enjoyment uses. New non-water oriented uses prohibited except as part of mixed use developments, or where they do not conflict with or limit opportunities for water oriented uses or where there is no direct access to the shoreline. WAC 173-26-211(5)(d)(ii)(A).</p>	SMP Section 5.4.1.A	ECY: okay
<p>Full <b>use of existing urban areas required</b> before expansion of intensive development allowed. WAC 173-26-211(5)(d)(ii)(B).</p>	SMP Section 5.4.1.F	ECY: okay
<p><b>New development</b> does not cause net loss of shoreline ecological functions. Environmental cleanup and restoration of the shoreline to comply with relevant</p>	SMP Section 5.4.1.C	ECY: okay

STATE RULE (WAC) REQUIREMENTS	LOCATION	COMMENTS
state and federal laws assured. WAC 173-26-211(5)(d)(ii)(C).		
<b>Visual and physical public access</b> required where feasible. Sign control regulations, appropriate development siting, screening and architectural standards, and maintenance of natural vegetative buffers to achieve aesthetic objectives. WAC 173-26-211(5)(d)(ii)(D) and (E).	SMP Section 5.4.1.B and 5.4.1.D	<i>ECY: okay</i>
<b>URBAN CONSERVANCY ENVIRONMENT WAC 173-26-211(5)(e)</b>		
<b>Designation criteria:</b> Areas within incorporated municipalities, UGAs, and “rural areas of more intense development” not suitable for water-dependent uses but suitable for water-related or water-enjoyment uses, are flood plains, have potential for ecological restoration, retain ecological functions, or have potential for development that incorporates ecological restoration. WAC 173-26-211(5)(e)(iii).	SMP Section 5.4.3	<i>ECY: okay</i>
<b>Allowed uses</b> are primarily those that preserve natural character of area, promote preservation of open space, floodplain or sensitive lands, or are appropriate for restoration. WAC 173-26-211(5)(e)(ii)(A).  Priority given to water oriented uses over non-water oriented uses. For shoreline areas adjacent to commercially navigable waters, water dependent uses given highest priority. WAC 173-26-211(5)(e)(ii)(D).  For SMPs that allow mining, see WAC 173-26-241(3)(h).	SMP Section 5.4.3.A	<i>ECY: okay</i>
<b>Standards</b> for shoreline stabilization measures, vegetation conservation, water quality, and shoreline modifications that ensure new development does not result in a net loss of shoreline ecological functions or degrade other shoreline values. WAC 173-26-211(5)(e)(ii)(B).	SMP Section 5.4.3.B SMP Section 5.4.3.C	<i>ECY: 5.4.3.B cites WAC 173-26-211(5)(e)(ii)(B) here, which doesn't work. The WAC is telling the SMP to include these standards.  Kelso: We have revised 5.4.3.B to clarify that those standards have been established. They are within the regulations.</i>
<b>Public access</b> and recreation required where feasible and ecological impacts are mitigated. WAC 173-26-	SMP Section 5.4.3.C	<i>ECY: okay</i>

STATE RULE (WAC) REQUIREMENTS	LOCATION	COMMENTS
211(5)(e)(ii)(C).		
<b>SHORELINE RESIDENTIAL ENVIRONMENT WAC 173-26-211(5)(f)</b>		
<b>Designation criteria:</b> Areas within incorporated municipalities, UGAs, “rural areas of more intense development”, and “master planned resorts” (see RCW 36.70A.360) that are predominantly residential development or planned and platted for residential development. WAC 173-26-211(5)(f)(iii).	SMP Section 5.4.2	ECY: okay
<b>Standards</b> for density or minimum frontage width, setbacks, buffers, shoreline stabilization, critical areas protection, and water quality protection assure no net loss of ecological function. WAC 173-26-211(5)(f)(ii)(A).	SMP Section 5.4.2.A	ECY: some of these requirements are not being met here, i.e. lot frontage, and density. Kelso: Added reference to the setbacks and minimum frontage width. These standards are within the SMP in Table 7-1.
Multifamily and multi-lot residential and recreational developments provide <b>public access</b> and joint use for community recreational facilities. WAC 173-26-211(5)(f)(ii) (B).	SMP Section 5.4.2.B	ECY: missing recreational development requirement here. Kelso: Corrected to include recreational.
<b>Access, utilities, and public services</b> required to be available and adequate to serve existing needs and/or planned future development. WAC 173-26-211(5)(f)(ii)(C).	SMP Section 5.4.2.C	ECY: okay
<b>Commercial development</b> limited to water oriented uses. WAC 173-26-211(5)(f)(ii)(D).	SMP Section 5.4.2.D	ECY: okay
<b>GENERAL POLICIES AND REGULATIONS</b>		
<b>Moratoria.</b> Under the authority of RCW 90.58.590, local governments may adopt moratoria or other interim official controls lasting up to six months. →	These controls may be extended twice. <b><i>These official controls are <u>not adopted</u> as part of a shoreline master program.</i></b> →	Public hearings, notice to Ecology, and other requirement are set forth in RCW 90.58.590.
<b>ARCHAEOLOGICAL AND HISTORICAL RESOURCES WAC 173-26-221(1)</b>		
Developers and property owners required to <b>stop work</b> and notify the local government, state office of archaeology and historic preservation, and affected Indian tribes if archaeological resources are uncovered	SMP Section 6.2.A	ECY: okay

STATE RULE (WAC) REQUIREMENTS	LOCATION	COMMENTS
during excavation. WAC 173-26-221(1)(c)(i).		
Permits issued in areas documented to contain archaeological resources require <b>site inspection</b> or evaluation by a professional archaeologist in coordination with affected Indian tribes. WAC 173-26-221(1)(c)(ii).	SMP Section 6.2.B	ECY: okay
<b>CRITICAL AREAS WAC 173-26-221(2)</b>		
<p><b>Policies and regulations</b> for critical areas (designated under GMA) located within shorelines of the state that</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Are consistent with SMP guidelines</li> <li>• Provide a level of protection equal to critical areas within shorelines that satisfy the no <b>net loss of ecological functions</b> requirement, as provided by the local government's existing critical area regulations adopted pursuant to the GMA for comparable areas other than shorelines. WAC 173-26-221(2)(a) and (c).</li> </ul> <p><b>Planning objectives</b> are for protection <i>and restoration</i> of degraded ecological functions and ecosystem-wide processes. <b>Regulatory provisions</b> <i>protect</i> existing ecological functions and ecosystem-wide processes. WAC 173-26-221(2)(b)(iv).</p> <p>Critical area provisions <b>promote human uses and values</b>, such as public access and aesthetic values, provided they do not significantly adversely impact ecological functions. WAC 173-26-221(2)(b)(v).</p>	<p><b>Planning Objectives and Policies:</b> SMP Section 4.3 and SMP Section 4.11.2.G</p> <p><b>Regulatory Provisions:</b> SMP Section 6.3 and Appendix B</p> <p><b>Critical Area Provisions promoting human uses and values:</b></p> <p>Appendix B Section 3.J.7.iii – Residential private access pathways within shoreline RHA buffers.</p> <p>Appendix B Section 1.2.A.6 – Passive recreation allowed in wetland buffers.</p>	<p>ECY: Please provide a specific citation for planning objectives, protection of existing ecological functions, and the promotion of human uses.</p> <p>NNL is okay.</p> <p>Kelso: Added specific references.</p>
If SMP includes <b>optional expansion</b> of jurisdiction: Clear description of the inclusion of any land necessary for buffers of critical areas that occur within shorelines of the state, accurately depicting new SMP jurisdiction consistent with RCW 90.58.030(2)(f)(ii) and WAC 173-26-221(2)(a).	SMP Section 3.1.A	ECY: okay



STATE RULE (WAC) REQUIREMENTS		LOCATION	COMMENTS
<b>WETLANDS WAC 173-26-221(2)(c)(i)</b>			
Wetlands <b>definition</b> is consistent with WAC 173-22.	SMP “Wetland or wetland areas” definition	ECY: okay	
Provisions requiring wetlands <b>delineation</b> method are consistent with WAC 173-22-035.	SMP “Wetland or wetland areas” definition	ECY: okay	
Regulations address all <b>uses and activities</b> listed in WAC 173-26-221(2)(c)(i)(A) to achieve no net loss of wetland area and functions, including lost time when the restoration does not perform the functions. WAC 173-26-221(2)(c)(i)(A) + (C).	SMP Section 6.1 SMP Appendix C – Section 1.1.C, Section 2.C, 2.D, 2.E, 2.F, 2.G	ECY: please provide specific location for these. Kelso: Added citation to section listing the activities in 173-26-221(2)(c)(i)(A) and the requirements meeting 173-26-221(2)(c)(i)(A) + (C).	
Wetlands <b>rating</b> or categorization system is based on rarity, irreplaceability, and/or sensitivity to disturbance of a wetland and the functions the wetland provides. Use Ecology Rating System or regionally specific, scientifically based method. WAC 173-26-221(2)(c)(i)(B)]	SMP Appendix C – Section 2.B	ECY: okay	
<b>Wetland Buffer</b> requirements are adequate to ensure wetland functions are protected and maintained in the long-term, taking into account ecological functions of the wetland, characteristics of the buffer, and potential impacts associated with adjacent land uses. WAC 173-26-221(2)(c)(i)(B). Wetland buffer widths assume a naturally vegetated state; wider buffers or a revegetation plan may be needed if buffer is unvegetated or sparsely vegetated.	SMP “Wetland or wetland areas” definition SMP Appendix C – Section 2.D	ECY: okay	
Wetland <b>mitigation</b> requirements are consistent with WAC 173-26-201(2)(e) and are based on the wetland rating or other scientifically valid means demonstrating replacement of all functions lost (hydrologic, habitat, and water quality). WAC 173-26-221(2)(c)(i)(E) and (F).	SMP Appendix C – Section 2.G	ECY: okay	
<b>Compensatory mitigation</b> allowed only after mitigation sequencing is applied and higher priority means of mitigation are determined to be infeasible.  Compensatory mitigation requirements include (I) replacement ratios; (II) Performance standards for evaluating success; (III) long-term monitoring and	SMP Appendix C – Section 2.G	ECY: okay	

STATE RULE (WAC) REQUIREMENTS	LOCATION	COMMENTS
<p>reporting procedures; and (IV) long-term protection and management of compensatory mitigation sites. WAC 173-26-221(2)(c)(i)(F).</p> <p>Compensatory mitigation requirements are consistent with preference for “in-kind and nearby” replacement, and include requirement for watershed plan if off-site mitigation is proposed. WAC 173-173-26-201(2)(e)(B).</p>		
<b>GEOLOGICALLY HAZARDOUS AREAS WAC 173-26-221(2)(c)(ii)</b>		
<p>Prohibition of <b>new development</b> and creation of new lots that would:</p> <p>Cause foreseeable risk from geological conditions during the life of the development. WAC 173-26-221(2)(c)(ii)(B);</p> <p>Require structural shoreline stabilization over the life of the development. (Exceptions allowed where stabilization needed to protect allowed uses where no alternative locations are available and no net loss of ecological functions will result.) WAC 173-26-221(2)(c)(ii)(C).</p>	<p>SMP Appendix C – Chapter 5</p> <p>SMP Section 7.3.2.B.1</p>	<p>ECY: 7.3.1.B.2 identifies new lots, but missing requirement for the life of the development. Changes to language to meet this requirement are needed.</p> <p>Kelso: Added ‘for the life of the development’ to 7.3.2.B.1.</p>
<p><b>New stabilization structures</b> for existing primary residential structures allowed only where no alternatives (including relocation or reconstruction of existing structures), are feasible, and less expensive than the proposed stabilization measure, and then only if no net loss of ecological functions will result. WAC 173-26-221(2)(c)(ii)(D).</p>	<p>SMP Section 7.3.1.E</p>	<p>ECY: okay</p>
<b>CRITICAL SALTWATER HABITATS WAC 173-26-221(2)(c)(iii)</b>		
<p>Prohibit <b>new docks, bulkheads, bridges, fill, floats, jetties, utility crossings</b> and other structures in or over critical saltwater habitats, except where:</p> <p>Public need is clearly demonstrated;</p> <p>Avoidance of impacts is not feasible or would result in unreasonable cost;</p> <p>The project include appropriate mitigation; and</p> <p>The project is consistent with resource protection</p>	<p>City of Kelso does not have a Critical Saltwater Habitat Designation</p>	<p>ECY: N/A okay</p>

STATE RULE (WAC) REQUIREMENTS	LOCATION	COMMENTS
<p>and species recovery.</p> <p>Private, non-commercial docks for individual residential or community use allowed if it is infeasible to avoid impacts by alternative alignment or location and the project results in no net loss of ecological functions. WAC 173-26-221(2)(c)(iii)(C).</p>		
<p>Where inventory of critical saltwater habitat has not been done, all over water and near-shore developments in marine and estuarine waters require habitat assessment of site and adjacent beach sections. WAC 173-26-221(2)(c)(iii)(C)</p>	<p>City of Kelso does not have a Critical Saltwater Habitat Designation</p>	<p>ECY: okay</p>
<b>CRITICAL FRESHWATER HABITATS WAC 173-26-221(2)(c)(iv)</b>		
<p>Requirements that ensure <b>new development</b> within stream channel, channel migration zone, wetlands, floodplain, hyporheic zone, does not cause a net loss of ecological functions. WAC 173-26-221(2)(c)(iv)(C)(I) and WAC 173-26-221(2)(c)(iv)(B)(II).</p>	<p>SMP Section 6.1</p> <p>SMP Section 6.3.2.B</p>	<p>ECY: 6.1.A okay</p> <p>ECY: okay</p>
<p>Authorization of appropriate <b>restoration projects</b> is facilitated. WAC 173-26-221(2)(c)(iv)(C)(III).</p>	<p>SMP Section 7.3.6</p>	<p>ECY: okay</p>
<p>Regulations <b>protect hydrologic connections</b> between water bodies, water courses, and associated wetlands. WAC 173-26-221(2)(c)(iv)(C)(IV).</p>	<p>SMP Appendix B (showing potentially associated wetlands)</p> <p>SMP Appendix C Chapters 2 and 4</p>	<p>ECY: missing</p> <p>Kelso: No specific regulation has been added. The combination of regulations in the SMP and Appendix B protect hydrologic connections.</p>
<b>FLOOD HAZARD REDUCTION WAC 173-26-221(3)</b>		
<p><b>New development within the channel migration zone or floodway</b> limited to uses and activities listed in WAC 173-26-221(3)(b) and (3)(c)(i).</p>	<p>SMP Section 6.4.C</p>	<p>ECY: okay</p>
<p><b>New structural flood hazard reduction</b> measures allowed only:</p> <p>Where demonstrated to be necessary, and when non-structural methods are infeasible and mitigation is accomplished;</p> <p>Landward of associated wetlands and buffer areas except where no alternative exists as</p>	<p>SMP Section 6.4.F.2</p>	<p>ECY: okay</p>

STATE RULE (WAC) REQUIREMENTS	LOCATION	COMMENTS
documented in a geotechnical analysis. WAC 173-26-221(3)(c)(ii) & (iii).		
New <b>publicly funded dikes or levees</b> required to dedicate and improve public access (see exceptions). WAC 173-26-221(3)(c)(iv).	SMP Section 6.4.F.3	ECY: okay
<b>Removal of gravel for flood control</b> allowed only if biological and geomorphological study demonstrates a long-term benefit to flood hazard reduction, no net loss of ecological functions, and extraction is part of a comprehensive flood management solution. WAC 173-26-221(3)(c)(v).	SMP Section 6.4.D	ECY: okay
<b>PUBLIC ACCESS WAC 173-26-221(4)</b>		
<b>Applicability:</b> Public access includes the ability of the general public to reach, touch, and enjoy the water's edge, to travel on the waters of the state, and to view the water and the shoreline from adjacent locations. WAC 173-26-221(4)(d)(i). For S of SWS the SMP should identify and evaluate all publicly owned shoreline parcels and their suitability for public access.	SMP Section 6.5.A	ECY: okay
Policies and regulations protect and enhance both <b>physical and visual access</b> . WAC 173-26-221(4)(d)(i).	SMP Section 4.6.2.A SMP Section 6.5.A SMP Section 6.5.B.1.b	ECY: provisions for the protection of views appear to be missing. Kelso: Added a citation to a public access policy with reference to visual access. Added a citation to a regulation referencing visual access.
<b>Public entities</b> are required to incorporate public access measures as part of each development project, unless access is incompatible with safety, security, or environmental protection. WAC 173-26-221(4)(d)(ii).	SMP Figure 6-1 SMP Section 6.5.A.1.a	ECY: okay
Provide standards for the dedication and improvement of public access in developments for water-enjoyment, water-related, and nonwater-dependent uses and for the subdivision of land into more than four parcels. In these cases, public access should be required [with certain exceptions].	SMP Section 6.5.A.1.d	ECY: okay

STATE RULE (WAC) REQUIREMENTS	LOCATION	COMMENTS
Maximum height limits, setbacks, and view corridors minimize impacts to existing views from public property or substantial numbers of residences. WAC 173-26-221(4)(d)(iv); RCW 90.58.320.	SMP Section 7.2.6.G SMP Table 7-1	ECY: 7.2.6.I only applies to height limits of industrial uses and development. The other provisions are missing. Kelso: No additional changes have been made.
<b>VEGETATION CONSERVATION (CLEARING AND GRADING) WAC 173-26-221(5)</b>		
Vegetation <b>standards</b> implement the principles in WAC 173-26-221(5)(b). Methods to do this may include setback or buffer requirements, clearing and grading standards, regulatory incentives, environment designation standards, or other master program provisions. WAC 173-26-221(5)(c).	SMP Section 6.6.A	ECY: okay
Selective <b>pruning</b> of trees for safety and view protection is allowed and removal of noxious weeds is authorized. WAC 173-26-221(5)(c).	SMP "Significant Vegetation Removal" definition SMP Section 6.6.G	ECY: 6.6.G- okay
<b>WATER QUALITY WAC 173-26-221(6)</b>		
Provisions protect against <b>adverse impacts to water quality</b> and storm water quantity and ensure mutual consistency between SMP and other regulations addressing water quality. WAC 173-26-221(6).	SMP Section 6.7.A	ECY: okay
<b>SHORELINE MODIFICATIONS</b>		
SMP: (a) allows structural shoreline modifications only where demonstrated to be necessary to support or protect an allowed primary structure or a legally existing shoreline use that is in danger of loss or substantial damage or are necessary for mitigation or enhancement; (b) limits shoreline modifications in number and extent; (c) allows only shoreline modifications that are appropriate to the specific type of shoreline and environmental conditions for which they are proposed; (d) gives preference to those types of shoreline modifications that have a lesser impact on ecological functions. Policies promote "soft" over "hard" shoreline modification measures (f) incorporates all feasible measures to protect ecological shoreline functions and ecosystem-wide	(a) SMP Section 7.3.1 (b) SMP Section 4.11.2.A.4, SMP Section 7.3 (c) SMP Section 4.11.2.A.3, Table 7-1, and SMP Section 7.3 (d) SMP Section 7.3.2.B.4, 7.3.2.C, and 7.3.2.D (f) SMP Section 4.11.2.A.5, SMP Section 7.3, and Appendix C (g) SMP Section 6.1, Section 7.3.2.A	a) Missing. 7.3.1.D is specific to stabilization structures. All of these provisions are for ALL shoreline modifications. Kelso: Changed reference to general modification regulations. b) missing Kelso: Included verbatim as a policy. The Regulations in all of 7.3 implement this. c) okay d) see comment for a above- missing. Kelso: Included verbatim as a policy. The Regulations in all of 7.3 implement this. f) missing

STATE RULE (WAC) REQUIREMENTS	LOCATION	COMMENTS
<p>processes as modifications occur; (g) requires mitigation sequencing. WAC 173-26-231(2); WAC 173-26-231(3)(a)(ii) and (iii);</p>		<p>Kelso: Added 4.11.2.A.5 to SMP and added citation. g) okay</p>
<b>SHORELINE STABILIZATION WAC 173-26-231(3)(a)</b>		
<p><b>Definition:</b> structural and nonstructural methods to address erosion impacts to property and dwellings, businesses, or structures caused by natural processes, such as current, flood, tides, wind, or wave action. WAC 173-26-231(3)(a)(i).  Definition of new stabilization measures include enlargement of existing structures. WAC 173-26-231(3)(a)(iii)(C), last bullet; WAC 173-26-231(3)(a)(iii)(B)(I), 5<sup>th</sup> bullet).</p>	<p>SMP “Shoreline Stabilization” Definition  SMP Section 7.3.2.A</p>	<p>ECY: okay  ECY: missing inclusion of enlargement of existing structures is considered new.  Kelso: Added clarification that modified or enlarged stabilization projects are considered new in Section 7.3.2.A.</p>
<p>Standards setting forth <b>circumstances under which shoreline alteration is permitted</b>, and for the design and type of protective measures and devices. WAC 173-26-231(3)(a)(ii).</p>	<p>SMP Section 7.3.1</p>	<p>ECY: okay</p>
<p><b>New development</b> (<i>including newly created parcels</i>) required to be designed and located to prevent the need for future shoreline stabilization, based upon geotechnical analysis.  New development on steep slopes and bluffs required to be set back to prevent need for future shoreline stabilization during life of the project, based upon geotechnical analysis.  New development that would require shoreline stabilization which causes significant impacts to adjacent or down-current properties and shoreline areas is prohibited. WAC 173-26-231(3)(a)(iii)(A).</p>	<p>SMP Section 7.3.2.B.1  SMP Section 7.3.2.B.2  SMP Section 7.3.2.B.3</p>	<p>ECY: okay  ECY: okay  ECY: okay</p>
<p><b>New structural stabilization measures</b> are not allowed except when necessity is demonstrated. Specific requirements for <i>how to demonstrate need</i> are established for: (I) existing primary structures; (II) new non-water-dependent development including</p>	<p>(I) SMP Section 7.3.1.E (II) SMP Section 7.3.1.G (III) SMP Section 7.3.1.F (IV) SMP Section 7.3.1.H</p>	<p>I) okay II) okay III) okay IV) okay</p>

[illegible]

STATE RULE (WAC) REQUIREMENTS	LOCATION	COMMENTS
watercraft. WAC 173-26-231(3)(b).		
When permitted, <b>new residential development</b> of more than two dwellings is required to provide joint use or community docks, rather than individual docks. WAC 173-26-231(3)(b)	SMP Section 7.2.3.D.3	ECY: this was not required, see changes language in 7.3.3.C, this needs to be added to 7.2.3 as well since it regulates docks and piers associated to residential development as well.  Kelso: Moved dock regulations into the Boating Facilities section in 7.2.3, and changed citation.
<b>Design, construction &amp; placement</b> of piers, docks, mooring buoys, boat lifts, boat ramps, marine railways, and float plane facilities are required to avoid, minimize and mitigate for impacts to ecological processes and functions and be constructed of approved materials. WAC 173-26-231(3)(b).	SMP Section 6.1 and Section 7.2.3.E	ECY: 7.3.3.E identifies that unavoidable impacts from construction shall be mitigated- missing the design and location pieces of this requirement.  Kelso: Moved dock regulations into the Boating Facilities section in 7.2.3, and changed citation.
<b>FILL WAC 173-26-231(3)(c)</b>		
<b>Definition</b> of “fill” consistent with WAC 173-26-020(14).	SMP “Fill” definition	ECY: okay
<b>Location, design, and construction</b> of all fills protect ecological processes and functions, including channel migration. WAC 173-26-231(3)(c).	SMP Section 6.1 SMP Section 7.3.4.C SMP Section 4.11.2.E.1	ECY: Missing. 6.1 doesn't get you all the way there, as it is not specific to location, design and construction. 7.3.4.C points to the entirety of Appendix of Appendix C, which does not provide a regulation that does this, and 4.9.2.E.1 is a policies that does not exist in this draft.  Kelso: The citations have been updated to refer to the correct policy. The regulations in Appendix C provide ample protections from all activities, including fill through buffers, alternatives analysis, critical areas reports and mitigation.
Fill <b>waterward of the OHWM</b> allowed only by shoreline conditional use permit, for:  Water-dependent use; Public access; Cleanup and disposal of contaminated sediments as part of an interagency environmental clean-up plan; Disposal of dredged material in accordance with DNR Dredged Material Management Program; Expansion or alteration of transportation facilities of statewide significance currently located on the shoreline (if alternatives to fill are shown not feasible);	SMP Section 7.3.4.B	ECY: okay



STATE RULE (WAC) REQUIREMENTS	LOCATION	COMMENTS
Mitigation action, environmental restoration, beach nourishment or enhancement project. WAC 173-26-231(3)(c).		
<b>BREAKWATERS, JETTIES, AND WEIRS WAC 173-26-231(3)(d)</b>		
Structures <b>waterward of the ordinary high-water mark</b> allowed only for water-dependent uses, public access, shoreline stabilization, or other specific public purpose. WAC 173-26-231(3)(d).	SMP Section 7.3.2.A	ECY: okay
Shoreline <b>conditional use permit</b> required for all structures except protection/restoration projects. WAC 173-26-231(3)(d).	SMP Section 7.3.2.B and Table 7-1	ECY: Table 7-1
Protection of critical areas and appropriate mitigation required. WAC 173-26-231(3)(d).	SMP Section 6.1 SMP Section 6.3	ECY: This requirement is not being met with 7.3.2.B, it is only subject to solid structures, and the requirement is for any breakwater or weir.  Kelso: Revised citation to refer to Section 6.1, No Net Loss and Section 6.3 which refers to Critical Areas Regulations.
<b>DUNES MANAGEMENT WAC 173-26-231(3)(e)</b>		
<b>Development setbacks</b> from dunes prevent impacts to the natural, functional, ecological, and aesthetic qualities of the dunes. WAC 173-26-231(3)(e).	City of Kelso does not have a Dunes Management Designation	ECY: N/A okay
Dune <b>modifications</b> allowed only when consistent with state and federal flood protection standards and result in no net loss of ecological processes and functions. WAC 173-26-231(3)(e).	City of Kelso does not have a Dunes Management Designation	ECY: N/A okay
Dune modification to protect <b>views</b> of the water shall be allowed only on properties subdivided and developed prior to the adoption of the master program and where the view is completely obstructed for residences or water-enjoyment uses and where it can be demonstrated that the dunes did not obstruct views at the time of original occupancy. WAC 173-26-231(3)(e).	City of Kelso does not have a Dunes Management Designation	ECY: N/A okay

STATE RULE (WAC) REQUIREMENTS	LOCATION	COMMENTS
<b>DREDGING WAC 173-26-231(3)(f)</b>		
Dredging and dredge material disposal <b>avoids or minimizes</b> significant ecological impacts. Impacts which cannot be avoided are mitigated. WAC 173-26-231(3)(f).	SMP Section 6.1	ECY: 7.3.5.A does not meet this requirement, I believe the SMP is relying on 6.1 to do this. Kelso: Correct. Changed citation to 6.1.
<b>New development siting and design</b> avoids the need for new and maintenance dredging. WAC 173-26-231(3)(f).	SMP Section 7.3.5.C	
Dredging to <b>establish, expand, relocate or reconfigure navigation channels</b> allowed only where needed to accommodate existing navigational uses and then only when significant ecological impacts are minimized and when mitigation is provided. WAC 173-26-231(3)(f).	SMP Section 7.3.5.B.1 and Section 6.1	ECY: Missing 7.3.5.C.1 states water-dependent uses not navigation. A change is required here. Kelso: Changed citations.
<b>Maintenance dredging</b> of established navigation channels and basins restricted to maintaining previously dredged and/or existing authorized location, depth, and width. WAC 173-26-231(3)(f).	SMP Section 7.3.5.D	ECY: missing Kelso: Revised SMP and updated the citation.
<b>Dredging for fill materials</b> prohibited except for projects associated with MTCA or CERCLA habitat restoration, or any other significant restoration effort approved by a shoreline CUP. Placement of fill must be <i>waterward</i> of OHWM. WAC 173-26-231(3)(f).	SMP Section 7.3.5.E	ECY: 7.3.5.E, F, and G. :okay
<b>Uses of dredge material</b> that benefits shoreline resources are addressed. If applicable, addressed through implementation of regional interagency dredge material management plans or watershed plan. WAC 173-26-231(3)(f).	SMP Section 7.3.5.G and 7.3.5.H	ECY: 7.3.5.G.3 and 7.3.5.A: okay
<b>Disposal within river channel migration zones</b> discouraged, and in limited instances when allowed, require CUP. (Note: not intended to address discharge of dredge material into the flowing current of the river or in deep water within the channel where it does not substantially affect the geo-hydrologic character of the channel migration zone). WAC 173-26-231(3)(f).	SMP Section 7.3.5.G	ECY: 7.3.5.G: okay

STATE RULE (WAC) REQUIREMENTS		LOCATION	COMMENTS
SHORELINE HABITAT AND NATURAL SYSTEMS ENHANCEMENT    WAC 173-26-231(3)(g)			
Provisions that <b>foster habitat and natural system enhancement projects</b> , provided the primary purpose is restoration of the natural character and functions of the shoreline, and only when consistent with implementation of the restoration plan developed pursuant to WAC 173-26-201(2)(f).	SMP Section 7.3.6 and Section 7.3.6.C.7	ECY: missing requirement that it is consistent with the SMP restoration plan.  Kelso: Added requirement for consistency.	
<b>Application For Relief</b> option from expansion of SMA jurisdiction by shoreline restoration projects. RCW 90.58.580.	SMP Section 8.8	ECY: okay	
SPECIFIC SHORELINE USES			
AGRICULTURE    WAC 173-26-241(3)(a)			
Use of agriculture related <b>terms</b> is consistent with the specific meanings provided in RCW 90.58.030 and .065.	SMP Section 7.2.1.A		
Provisions address <b>new agricultural activities</b> , conversion of agricultural lands to other uses, and other development not meeting the definition of agricultural activities.  Provisions assure that development in support of agricultural uses is: (A) consistent with the environment designation; and (B) located and designed to assure no net loss of ecological functions and not have a significant adverse impact on other shoreline resources and values. WAC 173-26-241(3)(a)(ii) & (v).	SMP Section 7.2.1.B  SMP Table 7-1	ECY: Missing. This regulation identifies that these things are regulated but no regulations are included in this section.  ECY: Missing. Table 7-1 does not meet requirements B.  Kelso: New agriculture is now a prohibited activity.	
Shoreline <b>substantial development permit</b> is required for all agricultural development not specifically exempted by the provisions of RCW 90.58.030(3)(e)(iv).	SMP Section 8.4.A and Section 3.2	ECY: okay	
<b>Conversion</b> of agricultural land to non-agricultural uses is consistent with the environment designation, and regulations applicable to the proposed use do not result in a net loss of ecological functions. WAC 173-26-241(3)(a)(vi).	SMP Appendix B SMP Section 6.1 SMP Table 7-1 SMP Section 7.2.1.C	ECY: Missing. There are no regulations contained in the SMP that regulate conversion of Ag lands to other uses. Kelso: Added Section 7.2.1.C.	

STATE RULE (WAC) REQUIREMENTS		LOCATION	COMMENTS
AQUACULTURE    WAC 173-26-241(3)(b)			
General Provisions	SMP Section 7.2.2	ECY: As a water-dependent use that is a statewide preference the city of Kelso cannot choose to prohibit aquaculture. Provisions to meet these requirements must be included in the SMP. Please update this section to reflect SMP.  Kelso: The SMP has been changed to allow for non-commercial aquaculture for conservation or habitat restoration purposes.	
Definition of aquaculture consistent with WAC 173-26-020(6) and -241(3)(b)(i)(A).	SMP “Aquaculture” definition	ECY: okay	
Aquaculture is defined as <b>dependent on the use of the water area</b> and, when consistent with control of pollution and prevention of damage to the environment, is a <b>preferred use of the water area</b> . WAC 173-26-241(3)(b)(i)(A).	SMP Section 7.2.2	ECY: missing Kelso: See new Section 7.2.2 text.	
SMP recognizes that potential locations for aquaculture are restricted, technology associated with some forms of present-day aquaculture is still in its formative stages and experimental, and recognizes the need for some latitude in the development of this use as well as its potential impact on existing uses and natural systems. WAC 173-26-241(3)(b)(i)(B).	SMP Section 7.2.2	ECY: see comment above. Kelso: See new Section 7.2.2 text.	
General ecological siting considerations: Provisions require <b>consideration of local ecological conditions</b> and providing limits and conditions to assure appropriate compatible types of aquaculture for the local conditions as necessary to assure no net loss of ecological functions. WAC 173-26-241(3)(b)(i)(A).  Aquaculture is not permitted in areas where it would result in a <b>net loss of ecological functions or adversely impact eelgrass and/or macroalgae</b> . Impacts to ecological functions shall be <b>mitigated</b> consistent with	SMP Section 7.2.2  SMP Table 7-1	ECY: see comment above Kelso: See new Section 7.2.2 text.	

STATE RULE (WAC) REQUIREMENTS	LOCATION	COMMENTS
the mitigation sequence. Aquacultural <b>facilities</b> should be <b>designed and located so as not to spread disease</b> to native aquatic life, <b>or establish new nonnative species</b> which cause significant ecological impacts. WAC 173-26-241(3)(b)(i)(C).		
Aquaculture is not permitted in areas where it would significantly <b>conflict with navigation and other water-dependent uses</b> . WAC 173-26-241(3)(b)(i)(C).	SMP Section 7.2.2.C	ECY: Missing Kelso: See new Section 7.2.2 text.
Aquacultural <b>facilities</b> should not significantly impact the <b>aesthetic qualities</b> of the shoreline. WAC 173-26-241(3)(b)(i)(C).	SMP Section 7.2.2.D	ECY: Missing Kelso: See new Section 7.2.2 text.
Provisions must ensure <b>proper management of upland uses</b> to avoid degradation of <b>water quality</b> of existing shellfish areas. WAC 173-26-241(3)(b)(i)(D).  Inventory and characterization must include information specific to siting in-water uses and development, including intertidal property ownership, aquaculture operations, shellfish beds, shellfish protection districts, and areas that meet health shellfish water quality certification requirements. WAC 173-26-201(3)(c)(xi).  Review of data and information specific to shellfish areas must also be done as part of the inventory and characterization. WAC 173-26-201(3)(d)(vii).	SMP Section 7.2.2	ECY: Missing Kelso: See new Section 7.2.2 text.
Provisions require reserve of shoreline space for shoreline preferred uses, specifically existing shellfish protection districts and critical habitats. WAC 173-26-211(5)(c)(ii)(G).	SMP Section 7.2.2	ECY: Missing Kelso: See new Section 7.2.2 text.
Subsistence, commercial and recreational shellfish beds are included in the definition of critical saltwater habitats. The inclusion of commercial aquaculture does not limit its regulation as a use. Reserving shoreline areas for protecting and restoring ecological functions should be done prior to reserving shoreline areas for uses. WAC 173-26-221(2)(c)(iii).	SMP Section 7.2.2 – No saltwater in Kelso	ECY: N/A no saltwater in Kelso

STATE RULE (WAC) REQUIREMENTS	LOCATION	COMMENTS
<b>Commercial geoduck aquaculture siting considerations</b>	Kelso does not have commercial geoduck aquaculture opportunities.	ECY: N/A no saltwater in Kelso
Commercial geoduck aquaculture should only be allowed where sediments, topography, land and water access support geoduck aquaculture operations <b>without significant clearing or grading</b> . WAC 173-26-241(b)(ii)	Kelso does not have commercial geoduck aquaculture opportunities.	ECY: N/A no saltwater in Kelso
As determined by Attorney General Opinion 2007 No. 1, the planting, growing, and harvesting of farm-raised geoduck clams requires a <b>substantial development permit</b> if a specific product or practice causes substantial interference with normal public use of the surface waters, but not otherwise. WAC 173-26-241(b)(iii)	Kelso does not have commercial geoduck aquaculture opportunities.	ECY: N/A no saltwater in Kelso
<b>Conditional use permits are required for new commercial geoduck aquaculture only.</b> Where the applicant proposes to convert existing nongeoduck aquaculture to geoduck aquaculture, the requirement for a conditional use permit is at the discretion of local government. Public notice must be provided to tribes and adjacent property owners. Limits and conditions to achieve no net loss must be used. WAC 173-26-241(b)(iv)(A) - (L).	Kelso does not have commercial geoduck aquaculture opportunities.	ECY: N/A no saltwater in Kelso
<b>BOATING FACILITIES WAC 173-26-241(3)(c)</b>		
<b>Definition:</b> Boating facility standards do not apply to docks serving four or fewer SFRs. WAC 173-26-241(3)(c).	SMP "Boating facility for purposes of this Program" definition  SMP Section 7.2.3.A	ECY: The docks and piers section (7.3.3) states, "All new or modified private moorage and launch structures shall meet the general requirements for boating facilities found in Section 7.2.3.A.1-4" so this doesn't seem to meet the requirement.  Kelso: Added statement to 7.2.3.A.
Boating facilities <b>restricted to suitable locations</b> . WAC 173-26-241(3)(c)(i).	SMP Appendix C  SMP Section 4.10.2.C.1  SMP Section 7.2.3.A.2 and Section 7.2.3.A.3	ECY: okay.
Provisions ensuring <b>health, safety, and welfare requirements</b> are met. WAC 173-26-241(3)(c)(ii)	SMP Section 7.2.3.A.5	ECY: okay

STATE RULE (WAC) REQUIREMENTS	LOCATION	COMMENTS
Provisions to avoid or mitigate <b>aesthetic impacts</b> . See WAC 173-26-241(3)(c)(iii).	SMP Section 7.2.3.A.7 - 9	ECY: Missing Kelso: Added citation to A.7 and A.8 and added a new section A.9.
<b>Public access</b> required in new boating facilities. WAC 173-26-241(3)(c)(iv).	SMP Section 6.5.A.1.b	ECY: missing. Section 6.5.A.1.B states, "The project is a non-water-oriented use or development." I'm not seeing a requirement for boating facilities to include public access. Kelso: WAC 173-26-241(3)(c)(iv) requires public access for new marinas, particularly where water enjoyment in part of the use. Kelso is not allowing new marinas, and has amended Section A.1.b to include 'water-enjoyment'.
Impacts of <b>live-aboard vessels</b> are limited. WAC 173-26-241(3)(c)(v).	Marina's, including live-aboard vessels, are not allowed in the Kelso SMP.  SMP Table 7-1	ECY: Missing. Neither marinas nor live-aboard vessels are prohibited in the SMP and marinas are cited in regulations in section 7.2.3. If the city intends to make such prohibitions, specific statements need to be added to the SMP. Kelso: Added row to Table 7-1 indicating Marina's are prohibited and amended 7.2.3 to remove reference to a marina. Table 7-1 also indicates live-aboard vessels.
Provisions assuring no net loss of ecological functions as a result of development of boating facilities while providing public recreational opportunities. WAC 173-26-241(3)(c)(vi).	SMP Section 4.10.2.C.2  SMP Section 6.1	ECY: this is a policy, NNL is found in section 6.1
<b>Navigation rights</b> are protected. WAC 173-26-241(3)(c)(vii).	SMP Section 7.2.3.A.3.c	ECY: okay
<b>Extended moorage</b> on waters of the state without a lease or permission is restricted, and mitigation of impacts to navigation and access is required. WAC 173-26-241(3)(c)(viii).	SMP Section 7.2.3.D and Section 7.2.3.A.1	ECY: Missing. This regulation is specific to covered moorage. Kelso: Updated citations.
<b>COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT WAC 173-26-241(3)(d)</b>		
<b>Preference</b> given first to water-dependent uses, then to water-oriented commercial uses. WAC 173-26-241(3)(d).	SMP Section 7.2.4.A	ECY: okay

STATE RULE (WAC) REQUIREMENTS	LOCATION	COMMENTS
<b>Water-enjoyment and water-related</b> commercial uses required to provide public access and ecological restoration where feasible and avoid impacts to existing navigation, recreation, and public access. WAC 173-26-241(3)(d).	SMP Section 7.2.4.D	ECY: okay
New <b>non-water-oriented commercial uses</b> prohibited unless they are part of a mixed-use project, navigation is severely limited, and the use provides a significant public benefit with respect to SMA objectives. WAC 173-26-241(3)(d).	SMP Section 7.2.4.C	ECY: okay
<b>Non-water-dependent commercial uses over water</b> prohibited except in existing structures, and where necessary to support water-dependent uses. WAC 173-26-241(3)(d).	SMP Section 7.2.4.E	ECY: okay
<b>FOREST PRACTICES WAC 173-26-241(3)(e)</b>		
Forest practices not covered by the Forest Practices Act, especially Class IV-General forest practices involving <b>conversions</b> to non-forest use result in no net loss of ecological functions and avoid impacts to navigation, recreation and public access. WAC 173-26-241(3)(e).	SMP Section 7.2.5	ECY: A statement prohibiting forest practices is necessary. Otherwise compliance with this section is required.  Kelso: Added statement that forest practices are prohibited.
SMP limits removal of trees on shorelines of statewide significance (RCW 90.58.150). Exceptions to this standard require shorelines conditional use permit. WAC 173-26-241(3)(e).	SMP Section 7.2.5	ECY: A statement prohibiting forest practices is necessary. Otherwise compliance with this section is required.  Kelso: Added statement that forest practices are prohibited
<b>INDUSTRY WAC 173-26-241(3)(f)</b>		
<b>Preference</b> given first to water-dependent uses, then to water-oriented industrial uses. WAC 173-26-241(3)(f).	SMP Section 7.2.6.A	ECY: missing preference of water-oriented uses over non-water dependent uses.  Kelso: Amended section.
<b>Location, design, and construction</b> of industrial uses and redevelopment required to assure no net loss of ecological functions. WAC 173-26-241(3)(f).	SMP Section 6.1.A SMP Section 7.2.6.E	ECY: Missing  Kelso: Citation is to No Net Loss section that refers to location, design, and construction of all uses and development. Also added citation within the industrial section.



STATE RULE (WAC) REQUIREMENTS	LOCATION	COMMENTS
Industrial uses and redevelopment encouraged to locate where environmental <b>cleanup and restoration</b> can be accomplished. WAC 173-26-241(3)(f).	SMP Section 7.2.6.D	ECY: okay
Public access required unless such a requirement would interfere with operations or create hazards to life or property. WAC 173-26-241(3)(f).	SMP Section 6.5	ECY: okay
New <b>non-water-oriented industrial uses</b> prohibited unless they are part of a mixed-use project, navigation is severely limited, and the use provides a significant public benefit with respect to SMA objectives. WAC 173-26-241(3)(f).	SMP Section 7.2.6.C	ECY: okay
<b>IN-STREAM STRUCTURES WAC 173-26-241(3)(g)</b>		
<b>Definition:</b> structure is waterward of the ordinary high water mark and either causes or has the potential to cause water impoundment or the diversion, obstruction, or modification of water flow. WAC 173-26-241(3)(g).	SMP "In-stream structure" definition	ECY: okay
In-stream structures <b>protect and preserve</b> ecosystem-wide processes, ecological functions, and cultural resources, including, fish and fish passage, wildlife and water resources, shoreline critical areas, hydrogeological processes, and natural scenic vistas. WAC 173-26-241(3)(g).	SMP Section 6.1 SMP Section 6.2 SMP Section 6.3 SMP Section 7.2.8.A.1	ECY: In stream structures are section 7.2.7, but this is Missing.  Kelso: Updated reference to include Section 6.1 which requires protection of ecosystemwide processes and ecological function, Section 6.2 to protect cultural resources, Section 6.3 to refer to CAO regulations which protect fish and wildlife and water resources. Also references Section 7.2.8.A.1 to address hydrogeological processes.
<b>MINING WAC 173-26-241(3)(h)</b>		
Policies and regulations for new mining projects:  Require <b>design and operation</b> to avoid and mitigate for adverse impacts during the course of mining and reclamation;  <b>Achieve no net loss</b> of ecological functions based on required final reclamation;  Give <b>preference</b> to proposals that create, restore or enhance habitat for priority species	SMP Section 7.2.9.B  SMP Section 6.1  SMP Section 7.2.9.B  SMP Section 4.10.2.I.3	Kelso: Mining is now 7.2.9, so updated citations. ECY: 7.2.8.B, okay ECY: NNL on final reclamation- Missing I suggest adding it to 7.2.8.D Kelso: Referenced SMP 6.1 ECY: preference to proposals, Missing. Kelso: This is included as a policy at 4.10.2.I.3 ECY: coordination w/ surface mining act, okay

STATE RULE (WAC) REQUIREMENTS	LOCATION	COMMENTS
<p>are coordinated with state <b>Surface Mining Reclamation</b> Act requirements; Assure subsequent <b>use of reclaimed sites</b> is consistent with environment designation and SMP standards. WAC 173-26-241(3)(h)(ii)(A) – (C).</p>	<p>SMP Section 7.2.9</p> <p>SMP Section 7.2.9.F</p>	<p>ECY: use of reclaimed sites, missing. Suggest adding this to 7.2.8.B</p> <p>Kelso: Assurance that subsequent use of a reclaimed site is accomplished through the entire SMP, and would be redundant to create a specific regulation to this effect. Any new use of the site would be considered new development, subject to the full SMP.</p>
<p><b>Mining waterward of OHWM</b> is prohibited unless:</p> <p>(I) Removal of specified quantities of materials in specified locations will not adversely impact natural gravel transport;</p> <p>(II) The mining will not significantly impact priority species and the ecological functions upon which they depend; and</p> <p>(III) these determinations are integrated with relevant SEPA requirements. WAC 173-26-241(3)(h)(ii)(D).</p>	<p>SMP Section 7.2.9.C</p>	<p>ECY: okay</p>
<p><b>Renewal, extension, or reauthorization</b> of in-stream and gravel bar mining activities require review for compliance with these new guidelines requirements. WAC 173-26-241(3)(h)(ii)(D)(IV).</p>	<p>SMP Section 7.2.9.C.4</p>	<p>ECY: 7.2.8.C.4 okay</p>
<p><b>Mining within the Channel Migration Zone</b> requires a shoreline conditional use permit. WAC 173-26-241(3)(h)(ii)(E).</p>	<p>SMP Table 7-1</p>	<p>ECY: okay</p>
<p><b>RECREATIONAL DEVELOPMENT WAC 173-26-241(3)(i)</b></p>		
<p><b>Definition</b> includes commercial and public recreation developments. WAC 173-26-241(3)(i).</p>	<p>SMP “Recreational areas or facilities” definition, pg. 2-16</p>	<p>ECY: okay</p>
<p><b>Priority</b> given to recreational development for access to and use of the water. WAC 173-26-241(3)(i).</p>	<p>SMP Section 7.2.10.A, B and C</p>	<p>ECY: this is missing, I added in suggested language to meet this requirement in 7.2.10</p> <p>Kelso: Accepted language.</p>
<p><b>Location, design and operation</b> of facilities are consistent with purpose of environment designations in which they are allowed. WAC 173-26-241(3)(i).</p>	<p>SMP Section 7.2.10</p> <p>SMP Appendix C</p>	<p>ECY: missing</p> <p>Kelso: Citations appear accurate.</p>

STATE RULE (WAC) REQUIREMENTS	LOCATION	COMMENTS
Recreational development achieves <b>no net loss</b> of ecological processes and functions. WAC 173-26-241(3)(i).	SMP Section 7.2.10.D	ECY: 6.5, okay. 7.2.10.D is for parking facilities.
<b>RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT WAC 173-26-241(3)(j)</b>		
<b>Definition</b> includes single-family residences, multifamily development, and the creation of new residential lots through land division. WAC 173-26-241(3)(j).	SMP "Residential" definition	ECY: okay
<b>Single-family residences</b> identified as a priority use only when developed in a manner consistent with control of pollution and prevention of damage to the natural environment. WAC 173-26-241(3)(j).	SMP Section 4.10.2.J.1 SMP Section 6.1 SMP Section 7.2.11.A	ECY: Missing Kelso: Updated to include a citation to the policies for SFRs and No Net Loss, which combined with 7.2.11.A, meet this requirement.
No net loss of ecological functions assured with specific <b>standards</b> for setback of structures sufficient to avoid future stabilization, buffers, density, shoreline stabilization, and on-site sewage disposal. WAC 173-26-241(3)(j).	SMP Section 6.1 SMP Section 7.2.11.A SMP Section 7.2.11.C	ECY: 6.1
New <b>over-water residences and floating homes</b> prohibited. Appropriate accommodation for existing floating or over-water homes. WAC 173-26-241(3)(j).	SMP Table 7-1	ECY: Missing Kelso: This regulation was removed due to redundancy with Table 7-1.
New <b>multiunit residential development</b> (including subdivision of land for more than four parcels) required to provide community and/or public access in conformance to local public access plans. WAC 173-26-241(3)(j).	SMP Section 6.5.A.1.c and d	ECY: 6.5.A.1.c and d, okay
<b>New (subdivided) lots</b> required to be designed, configured and developed to: (i) Prevent the loss of ecological functions at full build-out; (ii) Prevent the need for new shoreline stabilization or flood hazard reduction measures; and (iii) Be consistent with applicable SMP environment designations and standards. WAC 173-26-241(3)(j)	SMP Section 6.1  SMP Section 7.2.11.B	ECY: Missing Kelso: Added subdivisions and updated citation.
<b>Floating Homes: Certain ones must be classified as a</b>	No floating homes exist in Kelso.	ECY: Missing

STATE RULE (WAC) REQUIREMENTS		LOCATION	COMMENTS
"conforming preferred use" per RCW 90.58.270(5).	SMP Table 7-1		Kelso: Because there are no floating homes in Kelso, and Table 7-1 declares floating homes as prohibited, this is unnecessary.
Nonconforming Residential Structures: SMPs may contain provisions allowing structures not meeting current standards to be considered "conforming" per RCW 90.58.620.	SMP Section 3.3		ECY: okay
TRANSPORTATION FACILITIES WAC 173-26-241(3)(k)			
Proposed transportation and parking facilities must be designed and located where they will have the least possible adverse effect on unique or fragile shoreline features, will not result in a net loss of shoreline ecological functions, or adversely impact existing or planned water dependent uses. WAC 173-26-241(3)(k).	SMP Section 6.1 SMP Section 7.2.12.A.2 SMP Section 7.2.12..C		ECY: Missing for Parking facilities. Regulation A is only for Roads, Bridges, and Railroads. Kelso: Added citation to Section 6.1 and the parking section in 7.2.12.C.
Circulation system plans include systems for <b>pedestrian, bicycle, and public transportation</b> where appropriate. WAC 173-26-241(3)(k).	SMP Section 4.8.2 SMP Section 7.2.12.A.9		ECY: Missing. This is only a policy statement a regulation is also necessary to meet the requirement. Kelso: Added to regulations and updated citation.
<b>Parking</b> allowed only as necessary to support an authorized shoreline use and which minimize environmental and visual impacts of parking facilities. WAC 173-26-241(3)(k).	SMP Section 7.2.12.C		ECY: okay
UTILITIES WAC 173-26-241(3)(l)			
<b>Design, location and maintenance</b> of utilities required to assure no net loss of ecological functions. WAC 173-26-241(3)(l).	SMP Section 4.9.2.A SMP Section 6.1.A		
Utilities are required to be <b>located in existing rights-of-ways</b> whenever possible. WAC 173-26-241(3)(l).	SMP Section 7.2.13.D SMP Section 4.9.2.B		ECY: okay
Utility production and processing facilities and transmission facilities required to be <b>located outside of SMA jurisdiction</b> , unless no other feasible option exists. WAC 173-26-241(3)(l).	SMP Section 7.2.13.A.1 SMP Section 4.9.2		ECY: Missing Kelso: Changed citation. This one would apply to processing facilities as well.

STATE RULE (WAC) REQUIREMENTS	LOCATION	COMMENTS
Existing Utilities: Not allowed to justify more intense development. WAC 173-26-211(3)(c)	SMP Section 7.2.13.B	ECY: okay
<b>SMP ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS</b>		
This statement “ <i>Unless specifically exempted by statute, all proposed uses and development occurring within shoreline jurisdiction must conform to chapter 90.58 RCW, the Shoreline Management Act and this master program whether or not a permit is required.</i> ” must appear in the SMP. WAC 173-26-191(2)(a)(iii)(A).	SMP Section 3.1.K	ECY: Missing Kelso: Updated citation after adding this text back in.
Administrative provisions ensure permit procedures and enforcement are conducted in a manner consistent with relevant <b>constitutional limitations</b> on regulation of private property. WAC 173-26-186(5) and WAC 191(2)(a)(iii)(A).	SMP Section 1.4.C	ECY: okay
<b>Annexation:</b> SMP does not apply to annexed areas unless the requirements of WAC 173-26-150 and 160 are complied with.	SMP Section 3.1.B	ECY: okay
<b>Exemptions:</b> Must implement exemption procedures in accordance with WAC 173-27-040(1).	SMP Section 3.2	ECY: okay, see comments. The draft identifies threshold levels, but there is only one. It is actually consistency with the exact terms of the exemption- see WAC 173-26-040(1)(a) and (b). I suggest adding the language in these sections in directly. Kelso: Accepted edits in draft.
<b>Permit Exemption Letters:</b> Must be prepared for projects requiring Federal Rivers & Harbors Act §10 permits and/or Federal Clean Water Act §404 permits. WAC 173-27-050.	SMP Section 8.3	ECY: okay
<b>Retroactive SMA/GMA Relationship:</b> RCW <u>36.70A.480</u> governs the relationship between shoreline master programs and development regulations to protect critical areas that are adopted under chapter <u>36.70A</u> RCW. <i>The legislature intends for this act to be remedial and curative in nature, and to apply retroactively to July 27, 2003.</i> See RCW 36.70A.480, Footnotes.	SMP Appendix C	ECY: Where is this written in Appendix C. Please provide citation. Kelso: We have not added this text into the SMP or CAO Appendix. A regulation that mirrored the statement to the left would not be applied to projects under the amended SMP, because they are actions happening in the present and future, and will not have any affect retroactively.

STATE RULE (WAC) REQUIREMENTS	LOCATION	COMMENTS
<b>GMA Administrative Interpretation:</b> GMA jurisdictions SMP must contain procedures for administrative interpretation of development regulations. RCW 36.70B.110(11) & WAC 173-26-140.	Kelso is not a GMA jurisdiction.	ECY: okay
<b>Substantial Development Permits:</b> Approved SDPs must comply with WAC 173-27-150.	SMP Section 8.4	ECY: 8.4.G
<b>Conditional Use Permit:</b> Approved CUPs must comply with WAC 173-27-160.	SMP Section 8.5	ECY: okay
SMPs must specify which uses and developments require a shoreline <b>conditional use permit (CUP)</b> .	SMP Section 7.1 and Table 7-1	ECY: okay
<b>Variances:</b> Approved VARs must comply with WAC 173-27-170.	SMP Section 8.6	ECY: okay
<b>Permit Revisions:</b> Must comply with the revision approval criteria of WAC 173-27-100.	SMP Section 8.7	ECY: okay
<b>Federal Projects:</b> Must comply with WAC 173-27-060, with differing requirement in and out of the federal Coastal Zone Management Program.	Kelso is not within the federal Coastal Zone Management area.	ECY: okay
<b>Non-conforming uses and structures not</b> covered by RCW 90.58.270(5), 90.58.620, and not addressed by the SMP must comply with WAC 173-27-080.	SMP “Nonconforming lot, use or structure” definition, SMP Section 3.3	ECY: okay
Mechanism for tracking, and periodically evaluating the <b>cumulative effects</b> of all project review actions in shoreline areas. WAC 173-26-191(2)(a)(iii)(D)	SMP Section 8.10	ECY: Missing. This is a requirement for the SMP, not the restoration plan. A mechanism for tracking all actions within shoreline jurisdiction needs to be identified in the administrative section of the SMP. Kelso: Added Section 8.10.

STATE RULE (WAC) REQUIREMENTS	LOCATION	COMMENTS
SMP <b>definitions</b> are consistent with all definitions in WAC 173-26-020, and other relevant WACs.	SMP Chapter 2	ECY: okay